This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problems Mailbox.

Venture Vortex Version 1.0 Project Plan

Venture Vortex Web-Site

DRAFT



November 1999

Table Of Contents

Overview	3
Overall Goals for Version 1	3
Overall Goals for Version 2 Research	
Version 1.0 Features:	
Overall Framework:	3
Section Areas:	
Site wide:	
Revenue Sources:	3
Hardware/Software Recommendations and Costs	4
High level Project Plan	
Resources:	
Project Plan	



Wishing to har half for

Ov rall Goals for V rsion 1

- 1. Slipstream on existing sites.
- 2. Organized in a way that makes sense for the entrepreneur.
- 3. Include

Overall Goals for Version 2 Research

- 1. The research for version 2.0 needs to happen in parallel with the version 1 project, and should be completed at around the same time (Mid to late January)
- 2. Develop a working conceptual model of what the site should do, what kind of interactions between participants there should be, and how to facilitate those participations.
 - 2.1. This means understanding those participants better, doing research and surveys of their needs, and setting up pannels (done in coordination with VV)
 - 2.2. Lots of interaction and feedback with VV (what mechanism for that) and representative user community.
- 3. Research different technologies, components and partnerships that could be used to accomplish this.
- 4. Develop a functional prototype (interactive, but not fully functional just front end, no back-end, somewhat more than a glorified powerpoint presentation, but less than a true prototype)
- 5. End result are the document describing the model, the functional prototype, and a high level cost estimate and project plan. This will be used to gather funding for version 2.0 and to bring in partners.)

Version 1.0 Features:

Overall Framework:

The conceptual framework for the site is a Venture Creation Roadmap – a way of organizing the content by where in the venture creation process the user is.

Feedback from entrepreneurs would be used to create this roadmap and the types of questions an entrepreneur would ask (or should ask) in that phase. A number of Use Case scenarios would be developed, with navigation paths for those Use-Cases.

Section Areas:

For each section/stage on the roadmap:

- On-site content from partners (integration method needs to be worked out. Could be fairly static posting or dynamic feed)
- Links to content from other sources (combination of static references and dynamic search)
- Forums/Bulletin Boards
- Links to expert communities (can be private labeled)
- facility for doing user surveys (useful for gathering data, also useful for autogenerating content)
- opt-in e-mail lists relevant to the section (Examples garage.com. and technology patners Great customer retention tool, relatively easy to do)

Site wide:

- submission of business plans for review/peer review
- login/registration for additional features ...
- site search

With the roadmap at the start – you can build out the feature sets, make it more interactive as you build out. This format will naturally provide for integration of new features such as collaboration software and other more complex interaction systems that can be integrated over time or as a full version 2.0.

Revenue Sources:

There are a number of possible revenue sources that come out of these features for version 1:

- 1. Targeted advertising in opt-in e-mail, using a pay for performance mechanism. With high opt-in rates, click through rates (60 to 80%) and buy rates (7 to 8%), this is highly effective
- 2. Affiliate programs. Expert communities, software vendors, small business work sites, book vendors, etc. are all candidates for affiliate programs and pay referral fees on a pay for performance basis.
- 3. Banner ads. Currently the least effective way to generate revenues for a small site. This depends on number of impressions and click through rates, which have gone down significantly (currently about 0.5%) and are probably not a wise investment for a site of this size.



Hardware/Software Recommendations and Costs

For version 1, traffic and uptime requirements are expected to be moderate (under 5,000 unique visitors per day, 99% uptime). Based

Element	Provider	Estimated (
Colocated at Hosting Service	Concentric or other Tier 1	\$200-\$400 p	
Web Server Hardware	Sun	\$10,000 or \$	
Web server and database software	Apache and MySQL or Netscape and Oracle	Free \$2500 + \$20	
Bulletin Boards	TBD	From Free to	
Opt-in E-mail	TBD	\$5000	
Banner Serving (OAS geotargeting?)	Realmedia	TBD	

High level Project Plan

Resources:

Pathfinder Expects to use 7 people, of which:

- 1 full time PM
- 2 part time Architects/Business Analysis
- 2 full time Dev's
- 1 Graphical Designer full-time for 2-3 weeks.

 Project Plan

	<i>_</i>	
Version 10 Cost \$ 50K to \$200	Kerse de la	
willestones	Start	Completions
Phase 1 -Requirements Phase	Mon 11/22/99	Tue 1/11/00
Phase 2 – Analysis Phase	Mon 12/6/99	Mon 1/10/00
Design Prototype	Tue 12/14/99	Fri 12/17/99
Phase 3 – Implementation Phase	Thu 1/6/00	Thu 3/23/00
Functional Prototype	Fri 1/21/00	Fri 2/11/00
Beta Testing	Mon 2/21/00	Thurs 3/23/00
Final Rollout	Thurs 3/23/00	Thurs 3/23/00
Version:20 Research Cost/\$70	(to \$90K = 1	
functionality	Mon 11/22/99	Mon 1/31/00
Res arch - functional prototyp	Mon 11/22/99	Mon 1/31/00
Draft preliminary needs analysis document to include:	Mon 11/22/99	Wed 1/5/00
Description of target community	Mon 11/22/99	Fri 12/31/99

Description of how the site should	Fri 11/26/99	Mon 1/3/00
drive community building		
Draft technology recommendation document	Thu 12/2/99	Tue 1/4/00
Draft partnering recommnedations document	Thu 12/9/99	Wed 1/5/00
Draft Functional Specification	Wed 1/5/00	Fri 1/28/00

.

:

.

•

.

•